

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/993,080	11/13/2001	Jerome P. Ranch	0881	6034
27310 7	7590 11/03/2005		EXAMINER	
PIONEER HI-BRED INTERNATIONAL, INC.			KALLIS, RUSSELL	
7250 N.W. 621	ND AVENUE			
P.O. BOX 552			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
JOHNSTON, IA 50131-0552			1638	
			DATE MAILED: 11/03/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•						
	09/993,080	RANCH ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Russell Kallis	1638				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 M	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 May 2005</u> .					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
,—	•					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-10,14-34,36-49,51,53-55 and 62</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>3,7-10,14-24,27,31-34,38-48,51 and 62</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,4-6 and 55</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>25,49,53 and 54</u> is/are rejected.	☑ Claim(s) <u>25,49,53 and 54</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) <u>26,28-30,36 and 37</u> is/are objected to	Claim(s) <u>26,28-30,36 and 37</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
•						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ate atent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				

Application/Control Number: 09/993,080

Art Unit: 1638

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Claims 2, 11-13, 35, 50, 52 and 56-61 have been cancelled. Claims 1, 3-10, 14-34, 36-49, 51, 53-55 and 62 are pending. Claims 3, 7-10, 14-24, 27, 31-34, 38-48, 51 and 62 are withdrawn. Claims 1, 4-6, 25-26, 28-30, 36-37, 49 and 53-55 are examined.

Rejection of Claims 1, 4-6, 26, 28-30, 36-37 and 55 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) is withdrawn in view of Applicant's amendments.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 53 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 53 recites the limitation "said auxin-depleted transformation support medium" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 25, 49 and 54 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dunder E. et al. in Maize Transformation by Microprojectile Bombardment of Immature Embryos; Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg; pages 127-138. This rejection is maintained for the reasons of record set forth in the Official action mailed 2/04/2004 and 2/10/2005. Applicant's arguments filed 5/09/2005 have been considered but are not deemed persuasive.

Application/Control Number: 09/993,080

Art Unit: 1638

Applicant asserts that the publications cited do not teach stable transformation without the use of auxin prior to or during bombardment and that the claims are therefore non-obvious (response page 1). Applicant is arguing limitations that are not in the claims. Moreover, Applicant has not distinguished their invention from what is taught in the prior art. See *In re Lindner*, 173 USPQ 356 (CCPA 1972) and *In re Grasselli*, 218 USPQ 769 (Fed. Cir. 1983) which teach that the evidence of nonobviousness should be commensurate with the scope of the claims.

Claims 25, 49 and 53-54 remain rejected.

Claims 1, 4-6 and 55 are allowed.

Claims 26, 28-30 and 36-37 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 09/993,080

Art Unit: 1638

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Russell Kallis whose telephone number is (571) 272-0798. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Jones can be reached on (571) 272-0745. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Russell Kallis Ph.D. October 19, 2005

RUSSELL P. KALLIS, PH.D.
PATENT EXAMINER

Russell ( ally